Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/913,883	Applicant(s) AUPAIX ET AL.	
	Examiner Daniel S. Metzmaier	Art Unit 1712	

All Participants:

(1) *Daniel S. Metzmaier*.

(2) *Jeffrey G. Killian*.

Status of Application: *Notice of Appeal*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *21 June 2004*

Time: *10:30 AM*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none.

Claims discussed:
Claim 17.

Prior art documents discussed:
Chopin et al., US 6,740,312 and corresponding family member document WO-A-97/10185 noted in the written oppinion.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant authorized amendment to claim 17 to more clearly define the invention and provided a facsimile copy of the claim amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)